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\textbf{Abstract}

The analysis of the problem solving process in mathematics can shed light on the learning process. However, the analysis of this process is a difficult task that has to face the complexity and non linearity of the process itself. In this work we present the TTT software tool aimed to facilitate the registration and graphical representation of the steps that are followed by a group of students during the resolution of a problem, together with the time extension of these steps. This tool is based upon, and extends, the representation schemes presented by Årlebäck (2009), and can be applied to any problem resolution process (either mathematical or not) that can be divided into phases or categories along time.
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\section{1. Introduction}

Cognitive and work processes of students when they face the resolution of a problem is a recurrent research topic in the field of mathematics education (Pólya, 1945; Schoenfeld, 1985, 1992). The analysis of these processes can be done by means of the final productions of the students. However, when children work in groups to solve mathematical problems, the interaction and exchange of ideas has an important effect on the learning process, as they share other students’ ideas and perspectives (Gillies, 2003). As a consequence, the analysis of the discussions and interactions during the problem solving process within a work group can also be of great interest (Berry y Sahlberg, 2006; Gillies, 2003; Vygotsky, 1980).

This information can provide a powerful tool during the analysis of the process of solving a problem, specifically from a macroscopic perspective. The macroscopic analysis of the cognitive processes can be rather difficult to be performed due to the complexity of the process itself and, in addition, to the presence of an unstructured interaction among the students. As a consequence, many researchers have sought for a proper codification of the steps and cognitive processes produced during the resolution of the problem, as well as for tools that lead to a clear representation of this codification. In such a codification, the variable “elapsed time” has to play a relevant role to properly represent the process as a time evolving series of tasks or episodes (Årlebäck, 2009; Schoenfeld, 1985).
In this work we present a tool aimed to facilitate the registration and graphical representation of the steps that are followed by a group of students during the resolution of a problem. The tool registers not only the different phases that the students go through but also the time extension of these steps. This tool is based upon, and extends, the representation schemes presented by Arlebäck (2009), and can be applied to any problem resolution process (either mathematical or not) that can be divided into phases or categories along time.

2. Theoretical Framework

Studies on the problem solving process have focused mainly on mathematical problems from the beginning, although different studies can also be found in fields such as Psychology (Brandell, 2010, p. 189) or Computer Science (Pearl, 1984) among others.

The basis for most mathematics problem solving research in the past 30 years can be said to be founded in the writings of Pólya (1945) who considered an ideal problem solver and described the mental processes or phases she would follow to solve a problem in mathematics. The steps are: to understand the problem, to devise a plan for the solution, to carry out the plan and to look back on the solution.

Extending Pólya’s approach, Bransford y Stein (1984) developed a 5-step linear problem solving model. In both models these steps are followed sequentially, addressing one phase after the previous one has been finished.

However, the findings by different authors indicate that the problem resolution process does not need to be a linear one. On the contrary, the progress of students through the different phases of problem solving is a dynamic and cyclic process in which they can shift the task they are engaged in without completing the previous one, and repeat the whole process several times before the problem is completely solved.

In this direction Wilson, Fernandez, y Hadaway (1993) state that a student may combine reflection and activity at the beginning of the process or decide that she needs to understand the problem better while a plan is being devised. Or, when a devised plan fails during the execution phase, the student may jump back to the plan definition step again and re-elaborate it or, even, retake the task of understanding the problem.

As a consequence of this nonlinear essence of the problem solving process, the use of simple flow diagrams, such as the one shown in Figure 1, do not allow a clear vision of the cognitive process followed along time by students when they face the resolution of a mathematical problem. This makes it necessary to build a framework that emphasizes the dynamic and cyclic nature of the problem solving process for a successful analysis (Wilson y cols., 1993).

In that direction, Schoenfeld (1985, 1992), observing the protocols of real solvers, analyzes the behaviors that they develop while solving problems that he calls “nonstandard”. These problems are those that the students will not be able to solve by simply recalling and applying familiar solution patterns. In this way, Schoenfeld tries to categorize the behaviors of students and to describe the whole process as a set of episodes. An episode is “a period of time during which and individual or a problem solving group is engaged in one large task or a closely related body of tasks in the service of the same goal” (Schoenfeld, 1985, p. 292).

By doing this, Schoenfeld develops an action model, and not a competency model, that also identifies the decisions of the solvers and how the resolution process evolves over time. The episodes (or categories) identified are: Reading or rereading the problem, analyzing the problem, exploring aspects of the problem, planning all or part of a solution, implementing a plan and verifying a solution.

The introduction of the variable time together with the representation of the episodes helps to understand the non-linear process of problem solving, although this representation must be interpreted depending on the macroscopic analysis.
performed (see Figure 2 for an outline of Schoenfeld’s idea).

Other authors have used classification into episodes of the problem solving process and their representation over time in literature. In this way, Puig (1996), introduces a new type of episode, called formulation. During a formulation episode what is done is to explicitly formulate a problem that has been generated by some procedure or that has been encountered by other causes.

Also, Goos y Galbraith (1996, p. 241) used “a selective extension of Schoenfeld’s episode analysis” to study the nature of individual and interactive strategies used when students work together on solving problems and Scott y Stacey (2000, p. 123) followed Schoenfeld’s methodology “as closely as circumstances permitted” to study how students use the problem solving strategies in a problem solving situation.

More recently Brown (2003) performs an analysis of the understanding of functions using graphical calculators using a time-line diagram that records the detail of the episodes and shows the progress of the solution as the students proceed. This diagram is also based on the scheme of Schoenfeld and allows the researcher to focus more globally on the episodes to find cognitive and metacognitive differences in the resolutions made by different students.

As indicated in the introduction to this work, our tool is based on the scheme developed by Arlebäck (2009) who developed and used an adapted version of Schoenfeld’s “graphs of problem solving” (Figure 2) to get a schematic picture of the problem solving process of students working on a Fermi problem. As defined in Arlebäck (2009), Fermi problems are “open, non-standard problems that require students to make assumptions about the problem situation and estimates of certain quantities before they engage in, often, a series of simple calculations” and so, they are in line with those used by Schoenfeld (1985) in his work.

Comparing the phases and transitions in the modelling process according to Borromeo Ferri (2006), and the character of a realistic Fermi problem, Arlebäck identifies the following six modelling sub-activities to be used as codes for the activities the students engage in when solving a Fermi problem.

Reading (R): reading the task and getting an initial understanding of the task.

Making model (M): simplifying and structuring the task and mathematizing.

Estimating (E): making estimates of a quantitative nature.

Calculating (C): doing maths, e.g. performing calculations and rewriting equations, drawing pictures or diagrams.

Validating (V): interpreting, verifying and validating results, calculations and the model itself.

Writing (W): summarizing the findings and results in a report, writing up the solution.

Just like Brown (2003), Arlebäck (2009) modified and extended the number of Schoenfeld’s episodes to better suit the characteristics of the problem solving situation studied in order to develop a framework adapted to his work. Arlebäck uses the term categories of activities to refer to his extension of the Schoenfeld episodes. Figure 3 shows how Arlebäck’s diagrams can look like.

More recently, Albarracín, Arlebach, Civil, y Gorgorió (2019) further extended Arlebäck’s diagrams representing the sub-problems elaborated by the students to achieve their objective within the activity.

All these contributions show that there has been a considerable effort to build a proper representation capable of recording and representing the complex problem solving process. However, in the different works that have been cited, the authors have done the coding and graphical representation of the diagrams manually. This involves an investment of time and effort to find additional graphical representation tools when analyzing the student’s behaviour and its study from an educational point of view.

For this reason, in this article we present a tool that will facilitate the registration of a problem solving session and the publication of the corresponding diagrams. The tool is a computer application which we have called Task Time Tracker (TTT). Once the categories present in the process of solving a problem have been defined, the application is able to create in real time a schema that represents this process over time. In the next section we describe the application and its usage.

3. Task Time Tracker

The TTT is a tool to create in real time a time-line diagram that represents the process and steps that are followed when solving a problem. The application has been developed using the programming environment Processing 3\(^{2}\) (Reas y Fry, 2007) that features a programming language based on

---

1Reprinted with permission from the Editor of The Mathematics Enthusiast
2https://processing.org/
Java. A Processing application typically provides a drawing loop and a series of callback functions that are triggered on user events (e.g. mouse or keyboard events) and internal application events (e.g. the start of the drawing process for the application window).

This architecture has been used to capture user actions and store the beginning of each episode during the problem solving session. The start time and label of the task are stored in an object of the class Table. This object is used to generate the graphical view and to store the information on disk when this is requested by the user.

The TTT tool has a Graphical User Interface (GUI) consisting of two panels: an upper panel that shows the graphical representation of the registered categories and a lower panel that shows the information about the state of the registering process, together with indications on the available actions at each moment of the session. Figure 4 shows the GUI of the application when it is started. The application is controlled with the keyboard. Table 1 shows the main actions that can be done together with the associated keys.

The application can be in two main states during a tracking session: registering tasks or paused. When it starts, the application is paused and, hence, task tracking is not active. This allows the configuration of the tracking session to be started.

By default, when the application starts, the task category labels are configured following the ones proposed by Årliebäck (2009), RMECVW, as it was discussed in Section 2. However, it is possible to redefine this set of category labels before the task tracking is started.

Once the user has started tracking a session, the modification of the list of available labels would require the deletion of the stored information in the memory of the computer. As a consequence, the list of task labels can only be modified before the user has started registering any activity. Beyond this point, the number of different tasks and the associated labels cannot be modified.

The list of task labels can be defined by the user, so that the application can be used with the set of categories proposed by any representation. The program will ask for the set of letters to be used and will show in the information panel the typed keys, as shown in Figure 5. Since each tasks is associated to the key of the label during the registration process, keys cannot be repeated.

In the resulting graphical time-line, the labels are ordered from bottom to top, so that if the labels RMECVW are typed, then 'R' will be at the bottom of the figure, followed by 'M', and so on. An example of a graph produced by TTT with Polya’s steps with letters CEXM is shown in Figure 6.

Figure 6. A graph produced by TTT tool using the problem solving steps defined by Polya.

Once the set of labels for the tasks have been defined, the user can start the registration of the categories present in the problem solving process. The clock that is placed in the lower panel of the application will start running to record and display the session time. During the session, whenever the group of students shifts from one activity to another, the key that represents the new activity must be pressed. When the key representing the activity is pressed, the system will register that moment as the instant of time when a new category started. From then on, the bar corresponding to the new activity will evolve in the upper graphical panel and the associated letter will be shown in the lower panel until a new key is pressed or the program stops tracking. Figure 7 shows the GUI during a tracking session. In the upper canvas the time-line is displayed,
Tabla 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key</th>
<th>Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>⏯</td>
<td>Start/pause the timer and the registration of tasks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F8</td>
<td>Define the names of the tasks that will be tracked during the session. It can only be done before tracking has started</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F9</td>
<td>Create a new session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F10</td>
<td>Load a CSV file containing a previously recorded session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F11</td>
<td>Save a CSV file that stores the activity stored so far</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F12</td>
<td>Save a PNG image with the current state of the diagram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>→</td>
<td>Advance the session time in 1 second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>←</td>
<td>Step back the session time in 1 second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↑</td>
<td>Advance the session time in 10 seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↓</td>
<td>Step back the session time in 10 seconds</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

while the lower control panel shows the session time and the current activity.

![Figure 7. The state of TTT during a tracking session. In the figure, the user has pressed key E and that is the activity currently active.](image)

In order to allow the correction of possible mistaken introduction of activities, or to make the clock step forward, when the application is paused it is possible to modify the time using the arrow keys. Left and right arrows make the clock advance or step back by one second, while the up and down arrows make the time change in ten second steps. It has to be taken into account that if the time is moved backward to a point before the last activity started, this activity is removed and the previous one is activated. This can be useful if a new activity was activated by mistake or if the activity was activated at an incorrect instant of time.

Once a problem solving session has ended, or during the session if necessary, it is possible to save both the upper graph and the data of the current tracking session. The system will ask for a path to save the files. The graph will be saved in PNG (Portable Network Graphics) format in the indicated path and the data of the session will be stored in CSV (Comma Separated Values) format. The CSV file can be opened from a spreadsheet application, allowing its inspection and analysis.

Moreover, a session that has been saved in a csv file can be loaded by the TTT application in order to resume the session at the point it was left. This allows the registration of a problem solving session in several video visualization tracking sessions.

4. Conclusions

In this paper we have presented the TTT, a tool that helps researchers in the task of creating a useful representation of the problem solving process. The application creates in real time a time-line diagram that represents the process and steps followed when a student or group of students face the resolution of a complex problem. The tool has been developed using the programming environment Processing and provides a drawing loop and a series of callback functions that captures user events. Both graphical and text data are produced when tracking a problem solving working session and automates the previous work that has to be done in a macroscopic analysis of the students processes.
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