Scientific approach for ozone absorption in blood during systemic indirect endovenous ozonotherapy
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ABSTRACT

Introduction. Reading reference ozone books from Dra. Menendez, Dra. Viebhan, Dra. Borrelli and Dr. Bocci, proper timing for mixing ozone in blood during autohemotherapy is not calculated in a scientific way, having only an estimation of it based on changes in the blood color, more related to oxygen absorption than on ozone itself.

Material and methods. We decided to reproduce a reduced model of great autohemotherapy or recently renamed as systemic indirect endovenous ozonotherapy (SIEVO) by the World Federation of Ozone Therapy – WFOT, using syringes to simplify the experiment. Our model consisted of a 20 mL syringe filled with 10 mL of blood withdrawn from healthy volunteers and mixed it gently but in controlled way with 10 mL medical ozone at different concentrations; after 5 and 8 seconds, the remaining gas was analyzed by an spectrophotometer based ozone detector to check the amount of ozone. Data were analyzed using a linear regression model.

Results. Results show that even for 60 mcgr/mL ozone concentration, 8 seconds is enough to let all ozone absorbed in blood.

Discussion and Conclusions. The experiment shows how quick ozone reacts with blood and claims for a trial with real SIEVO devices to achieve a real timing.
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Introduction

Ozone therapy has developed for many years in a empirical environment. Unfortunately, it was rejected from conventional medicine in the 30s and its use remained limited to natural medicine [1,2]. Since the 80s, these techniques based on ozone gas administration have been progressively introduced in orthodox medicine thanks to scientifically designed studies [3]. However, even now that we have solid evidence of the efficacy of ozone in some pathologies, the king of the ozone systemic approach, the SIEVO technique, still has a big caveat in it: a scientific timing for the mixing procedure and a fixed procedure for this mixing process. The timing proposed for this technique range from 1 [2,3] to 5 [4] minutes.

Due to these facts, we decided to develop an experiment to settle a standard mixing technique and a minimum time of mixing, so every doctor will clearly know how to perform a SIEVO procedure.
Other technical aspect, like the use of crystal or ozone resistant plastic bags or bottles is now a futile discussion, when some of the late ones have been homologated by the European Medicines Evaluation Agency (EMEA) as medical devices for ozone therapy and have got the CE mark. The use of single or double tube devices is still not clear and should also be scientifically studied by manufacturers.

**Materials and methods**

In a standard SIEVO, equal amounts of blood from the patient and medical ozone are mixed in a bottle or plastic bag resistant to ozone. As it is impossible to measure the ozone dissolved in blood during a SIEVO because of its enormously quick reaction with blood components [3], we decided to measure the ozone in the remaining gas. The timing we proposed for this technique ranged from 10 to 20 seconds based on the ozone quick reaction with blood components. According to this timing, the ozone decomposed into oxygen during this time is negligible, as ozone auto-decomposes at a rate of 2% per minute at room temperature (20-24 ºC)[1].

In order to avoid withdrawing a great amount of blood from a great numbers of volunteers, we designed a reduced model of the SIEVO procedure by using a 20 mL siliconized syringe (Luer BBRAUN Omnifix, Melsungen, Germany) currently used for ozone injections. We filed it with 10 mL of blood previously collected from 5 volunteers (see details below) in 60 mL syringes previously anticoagulated with 6 mL of sodium citrate 3,13% each, so we had 6 samples of 10 mL from each volunteer. To fill the 20 mL syringe, first with blood and later with 10 mL of medical ozone, we used an ozone resistant three-way stopcock (BBRAUN Discofix, Melsungen, Germany). To produce the medical ozone, we used a Humazon Promedic from HUMARES GMBH, Bruchsal, Germany. The ozone generator was firstly calibrated by an ozone measuring spectrophotometer (OMS) Ozone Analyzer BMT 964 from BMT MESSTECHNIK, Berlin, Germany, that we also used to measure the ozone concentration in the remaining gas after the mixing procedure. We decided to use a 20 mL syringe because the OMS needed at least 5 mL of gas to perform a good measure, so 10 mL of remaining gas to analyze after the mixture will produce an exact measurement. Once performed the mixing technique, we measured the remaining gas in the syringe to get to know how much of ozone remained and calculate the ozone that has dissolved in the blood by injecting it into the OMS, procedure that takes 3 seconds.

The first step was to decide a method for mixing that could be used also in standard bottles or bags for ozone. We withdraw 60 mL of blood from one of the volunteers and used one sample of 10 mL (named A) with a soft twisting mixing maneuver and other sample of 10 mL (named B) with a maneuver (Fig.1) that consisted of turning 135º the syringe from a vertical position to a declined position, taking one second to perform this movement without shake; immediately, we turned back the syringe to a vertical position during one more second and repeated this procedure for the desired time. We used 60 µgr/mL medical ozone for both samples (A and B). As we had no approximate idea of the time of mixture, we used a 15
seconds time (plus 3 more seconds to introduce the remaining gas into the OMS). The result of this first approach was that sample A had, in the remaining gas, an ozone concentration of 6.5 μgr/mL and sample B had a concentration of ozone of zero (0 μgr/mL). We realized of the great importance of the mixing procedure and also of the short time of mixing time needed. We used this last method of mixing, shown in figure 1, during the rest of the experiment. Foam or bubbles never appeared.

We then decided to use 3 more samples of 10 mL from the rest of the 60 mL remaining, using the same ozone concentration and mixing intervals of 5, 10 and 15 seconds (real times of 8, 13 and 18 seconds). For the 10 and 15 seconds samples, the remaining gas had no ozone. Due to the short time of mixing, ozone decomposed into oxygen is negligible.

The last step was to confirm these results with a bigger sample, different concentrations and a mixing time under 10 seconds (5 and 8 seconds). We tested 20, 40 and 60 μgr/mL concentrations as they are the most frequently used ones. 60 mL of blood were withdrawn from 5 healthy volunteers in two different cities. Age ranged from 31 to 44 years. 2 males and 3 females. From each volunteer, we got 6 samples of 10 mL of blood. We mixed 2 samples with each concentration, following the mixing procedure described above, so we got 10 different samples of 10 mL for each concentration.

We used the free software QtiPlot version 0.9.8.9 for statistical analysis under Ubuntu 14.04 operative system.

**Results**

Table 1 compiles the data collected referred in the previous paragraph.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volunteer</th>
<th>Mixing time (seconds)</th>
<th>BASE OZONE CONCENTRATION, μg/ml.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 5 8 0 5 8 0 5 8</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>60 7 0.2 40 2.8 0 20 0.1 0</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>60 8.6 0.2 40 2.4 0 20 0.1 0</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>60 7.4 0.3 40 2.6 0 20 0.1 0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>60 5.5 0.1 40 2.5 0 20 0.2 0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>60 4.8 0.1 40 2.5 0 20 0.1 0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1bis</td>
<td>60 6.3 0.2 40 2.7 0 20 0.2 0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2bis</td>
<td>60 8.1 0.2 40 2.3 0 20 0.2 0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3bis</td>
<td>60 7 0.3 40 2.4 0 20 0.1 0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4bis</td>
<td>60 3.9 0.1 40 2.3 0 20 0.2 0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5bis</td>
<td>60 9.8 0.3 40 2.6 0 20 0.1 0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>6.84 0.20 2.51 0.00 0.13 0.00</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>1.78 0.08 0.17 0.00 0.04 0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures 2 and 3 show that for 20 and 40 μgr/mL concentration, there is almost no ozone in the remaining gas after 5 seconds of mixing. For 60 μgr/mL (Figure 4), 99.67% has dissolved after 8 seconds.

**Figure 2.** Ozone absorption for 20 μgr/mL of ozone concentration.

**Figure 3.** Ozone absorption for 40 μgr/mL of ozone concentration.
The linear regression analysis shows that a theoretical calculation for 80 μg/mL, 99.65% will react with the blood within 8 seconds (Figure 5). We could even think of adding 2 more seconds to be sure that even for 80 μg/mL concentration, 10 seconds is enough to allow all the ozone react with the blood.

Figure 4. Ozone absorption for 60 μg/mL of ozone concentration.

Figure 5. Linear regression analysis.
Conclusions

In this basic experiment, we can scientifically assess that 10 seconds of mixing time is the optimal time. We could even use 5 seconds for 40 μgr/mL and lower concentrations.

Anyhow, we need to test the real mixing time with a real model under real conditions, because this experiment is just a call to face scientifically this undetermined variable in the SIEVO technique.
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